

A REFINED COUNTER-EXAMPLE TO THE SUPPORT CONJECTURE FOR ABELIAN VARIETIES

MICHAEL LARSEN AND RENÉ SCHOOF

ABSTRACT. If A/K is an abelian variety over a number field and P and Q are rational points, the original support conjecture asserted that if the order of $Q \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ divides the order of $P \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ for almost all primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of K , then Q is obtained from P by applying an endomorphism of A . This is now known to be untrue. In this note we prove that it is not even true modulo the torsion of A .

Let A be an abelian variety over a number field K and let P and Q be K -rational points of A . By inverting a suitable element in the ring of integers of K , one can always find a Dedekind domain $\mathcal{O}$ with fraction field K such that A extends to an abelian scheme $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathcal{O}$ and P and Q extend to $\mathcal{O}$ -points of $\mathcal{A}$. Therefore, one can speak of reducing P and $Q \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ for almost all (i.e., all but finitely many) primes $\mathfrak{p}$. In [1], C. Corrales-Rodríguez and R. Schoof proved that when $\dim A = 1$, the condition

$$(1) \quad nP \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \Rightarrow nQ \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

for all integers n and almost all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ implies

$$(2) \quad Q = fP, \quad \text{for some } f \in \text{End}_K(A).$$

In [2], M. Larsen proved that (1) does not imply (2) for general abelian varieties but that it does imply

$$(3) \quad kQ = fP, \quad \text{for some } f \in \text{End}_K(A)$$

and some positive integer k . The counter-example presented to (2) actually satisfies something stronger than (3), namely

$$(4) \quad Q = fP + T, \quad \text{for some } f \in \text{End}_K(A)$$

and some torsion point $T \in A(K)$.

An early draft of [3] (version 2) claimed that (1) in fact implies (4). The proof given was incorrect, and the statement was removed from subsequent versions. (Version 3 is essentially the same as the published version [2], while version 4 corrects a series of misprints, in which P was written for Q and vice versa throughout several paragraphs of the proof of the main theorem.)

In this note we present an example to show that (1) does not imply (4).

Theorem 1. *There exists an abelian variety A over a number field K and points P and Q which satisfy (1) but not (4).*

The first named author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0100537.

Proof. Let p be a prime. Let K be a number field and let E be an elliptic curve over K without complex multiplication that possesses a point $R \in E(K)$ of infinite order. Suppose in addition that the p -torsion points of E are rational over K and let $R_1, R_2 \in E(K)$ be two independent points of order p . Consider the abelian surface A obtained by dividing $E \times E$ by the subgroup generated by the point (R_1, R_2) . Then A is defined over K .

We describe the ring of K -endomorphisms of A . Let $E(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$, and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in p^{-1}\Lambda$ map to R_1 and R_2 respectively. Thus, if for certain integers a and b we have $a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_2 \in \Lambda$, then necessarily $a, b \in p\mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$M = \mathbb{Z} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} + \Lambda^2 \subset p^{-1}\Lambda^2.$$

The complex torus $A(\mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2/M$, and any endomorphism of $A(\mathbb{C})$ is given by a complex 2×2 matrix

$$(5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$$

with

$$(6) \quad a\Lambda, b\Lambda, c\Lambda, d\Lambda \subset p^{-1}\Lambda$$

and

$$(7) \quad a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_2 \in k\lambda_1 + \Lambda, \quad c\lambda_1 + d\lambda_2 \in k\lambda_2 + \Lambda$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. As E does not have complex multiplication, (6) implies $pa, pb, pc, pd \in \mathbb{Z}$. Multiplying (7) by p , we deduce that $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, and then (7) implies $a - k, b, c, d - k \in p\mathbb{Z}$. Conversely, any matrix (5) whose entries satisfy $a - k, b, c, d - k \in p\mathbb{Z}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, lies in $\text{End}(A(\mathbb{C}))$ and therefore in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}A$. Since the curve E and the points R_1, R_2 are defined over K , it lies therefore in End_KA .

Let P and Q denote the images of the points $(R, 0)$ and (R, R) in $A(K)$ respectively. Suppose that $nQ \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of good reduction and characteristic different from p . This means that (nR, nR) is contained in the subgroup generated by (R_1, R_2) in the group of points on $E \times E$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}$. Since the characteristic of $\mathfrak{p}$ is not p , the torsion points R_1 and R_2 are *distinct* modulo $\mathfrak{p}$. This implies that $nR \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. It follows that $nP \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. Therefore condition (1) is satisfied. And of course, so is the conclusion (3) of Larsen's Theorem with $k = p$ and $f \in \text{End}_K(A)$ the endomorphism with matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

However, (4) does not hold because there is no endomorphism $g \in \text{End}_K(A)$ for which $P = gQ$ plus a torsion point. Indeed, this would imply

$$\begin{pmatrix} R \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix} + p \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} R \\ R \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and some torsion points $T_1, T_2 \in E(K)$. Since R has infinite order, inspection of the second coordinate shows that $k + pc + pd = 0$ so

that $k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. On the other hand, looking at the first coordinate we see that $1 = pa + pb + k$, a contradiction. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Corrales-Rodríguez, Capi; Schoof, René: The support problem and its elliptic analogue. *J. Number Theory* **64** (1997), no. 2, 276–290.
- [2] Larsen, Michael: The support problem for abelian varieties. *J. Number Theory* **101** (2003), 398–403.
- [3] Larsen, Michael: The support problem for abelian varieties, arXiv:math.NT/0211118.
E-mail address: `larsen@math.indiana.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405,
U.S.A.

E-mail address: `schoof@science.uva.nl`

UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA “TOR VERGATA”, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA DELLA
RICERCA SCIENTIFICA, I-00133 ROMA, ITALY